•	of Patents and Trademarks ngton, DC 20231	REPORT ON THE CONTINUE OF A PATENT OF A PATENT OF TRADEMARK
In Comp	iance with 35 § 290 and/or 15	U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
filed in the U.S. D	istrict Court <u>Central</u>	on the following G Patents or G Trademans:
18-01889 Partition		U.S. DISTRICT COURT Central District of California
LAINTIFF		DEFENDANT
Nike, Inc.		Michael Masjuan a/k/a Mike Masjuan, Dalia Masjuan and Does 1 - 10, inclusive,
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
SEE EXHIBIT A 3 TMC		Nike, Inc.
2		a many many
	 	
3 —_ 	 	<u> </u>
1		
5		
In the abo		g patent(s) have been included:
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	INCLUDED BY G A	
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR	INCLUDED BY G ATE OF PATENT	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4	INCLUDED BY G AI DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In the abo PATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4	INCLUDED BY G AI DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5	G AID DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5	INCLUDED BY G AI DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In the abo DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK ON TRADEMARK ON TRADEMARK	mendment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK

1 2 ·

Nike Registrations for Footwear International Class 25

Trademark	Registration Number	Registration Date
AIR-SOLE	1,145,812	January 13, 1981
SWOOSH	1,200,529	July 6, 1982
NIKĘ	1,214,930	November 2, 1982
Nike and Swoosh Design	1,237,469	May 10, 1983
Nike [®]	1,277,066	May 8, 1984
Swoosh® Design	1,284,385	July 3, 1984
NIKE AIR w/Swoosh device	1,284,386	July 3, 1984
NIKE AIR	1,307,123	November 27, 1984
Air Jordan [®]	1,370,283	November 12, 1985
Swoosh device on shoe	1,323,342	March 5, 1985
Swoosh device	1,323,343	March 5, 1985
NIKE w/Swoosh device	1,325,938	March 19, 1985
AIR JORDAN	1,370,283	November 12, 1985
AIR MAX	1,508,348	October 11, 1988
AIR TRAINER	1,508,360	October 11, 1988
Jump Man device	1,558,100	September 26, 1989
Nike Air®	1,571,066	December 12, 1989
AIR SKYLON	1,665,479	November 19, 1991
AIR SOLO FLIGHT	1,668,590	December 17, 1991
AIR FLIGHT	1,686,515	May 12, 1992
AIR DESCHUTZ	1,735,721	November 24, 1992
Jump Man device	1,742,019	December 22, 1992
AIR TRAINER MAX	1,789,463	August 24, 1993
AIRMAX in oval	2,030,750	January 14, 1997
AIR UPTEMPO in crest	2,032,582	January 21, 1997
AIR with Swoosh device	2,068,075	June 3, 1997
NIKE with Swoosh device	2,104,329	October 7, 1997
ACG NIKE in triangle	2,117,273	December 2, 1997
Nike [®]	2,196,735	October 13, 1998
Nike® and Swoosh® Design	2,209,815	December 8, 1998
Stylized "B"	2,476,882	August 14, 2001
NIKE ALPHA PROJECT as	2,517,735	December 11, 2001
device		
WAFFLE RACER	2,652,318	November 19, 2002
PHYLITE	2,657,832	December 10, 2002

Case 2:08-cv-01889-JFW-CT	Document 16	Filed 09/17/2008	Page 3 of 10
	EXHIBI	[T_A	•

1	TRUNNER	2,663,568	December 17, 2002
2	DRI-STAR	2,691,476	February 25, 2003
3	PRESTO	2,716,140	May 13, 2003
	TRIAX	2,810,679	February 3, 2004
4	WAFFLE TRAINER	2,893,674	October 12, 2004
5	THERMA-STAR	2,960,844	June 7, 2005
	NIKE SHOX	2,970,902	July 19, 2005
6	STARTER	2,971,216	July 19, 2005
7	Basketball player outline	2,977,850	July 26, 2005
	NIKEFREE	3,087,455	May 2, 2006
8			

- 2 -

1	J. Angrew Coombs (SBN 123881)
ļ	andy@coombspc.com
2	Annic S. Wang (SBN 243027)
ſ	annie@coombspc.com
3	J. Andrew Coombs, A P. C.
ĺ	517 East Wilson Avenue, Suite 202
4	Glendale, California 91206
	Telephone: (818) 500-3200
5	Facsimile: (818) 500-3201
	1 4401111111
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Nike Inc

Case No. CV08-01889 JFW (CTx)

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO

ENTRY OF DEFAULT

JS6

Attorneys for Plaintill Nike, Inc.

7

8

9

10

Nike, Inc.,

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Nike v. Masjuan, et al.: Proposed Judgment Pursuant to Default

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

Michael Masjuan a/k/a Mike Masjuan, Dalia Masjuan and Does 1 - 10, inclusive, Defendants.

This cause having come before this Court on the motion of Plaintiff Nike, Inc. ("Nike" or "Plaintiff") for entry of default judgment and a permanent injunction against Defendants Michael Masjuan a/k/a Mike Masjuan and Dalia Masjuan (collectively "Defendants");

AND, the Court having read and considered the pleadings, declarations and exhibits on file in this matter and having reviewed such evidence as was presented in support of Plaintiff's Motion;

AND, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, the Court finds the following facts:

Nike owns or controls the pertinent rights in and to the trademarks listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference (The trademarks identified in Exhibit "A" are collectively referred to herein as the "Nike Trademarks").

All of the Nike Trademarks are current and in full force and effect.

- 1 -

...

As a direct result of Nike's longstanding use, sales, advertising and marketing, the Nike Trademarks have acquired secondary and distinctive meaning among the public who have come to identify the Nike Trademarks with Nike and its products. Many of the marks have become incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065. Additionally, all of the Nike Trademarks qualify as famous marks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

Defendants engage in the manufacture, purchase, distribution, offering for sale and/or sale of counterfeit and/or infringing footwear bearing the Nike Trademarks to the general public.

Defendants in this action are sellers of counterfeit Nike branded shoes through the Internet, including but not necessarily limited to the websites airjordanstore.com and air-jordan-store.com. Through such active manufacturing, purchasing, distributing, offering of sale and selling of unlicensed and counterfeit footwear, Nike is irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution and tarnishment of its valuable trademarks.

Defendants have caused to be imported, distributed, offered for sale and sold footwear bearing one or more of the Nike Trademarks without the authorization of Nike. Defendants manufacture, purchase, distribute, offer for sale and sell footwear and related merchandise bearing the Nike Trademarks in California and in interstate commerce. Defendants have and are likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake as to the source and origin of the footwear and related merchandise in that the buying public may conclude that the products sold by Defendants are authorized, sponsored, approved or associated with Nike.

Defendants' use in commerce of the Nike Trademarks in the sale of footwear and related merchandise is an infringement of Nike's registered trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1) and 1125.

Defendants have profited from their unlawful activities. Unless Defendants' conduct is enjoined, Nike and its goodwill and reputation will continue to suffer irreparable injury which cannot be adequately calculated or compensated solely by money damages. Accordingly, Nike seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.

Defendants committed the acts alleged in the Complaint intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, willfully, wantonly and oppressively with the intent to injure Nike and its business.

The liability of the Defendants in the above-referenced action for their acts in violation of Nike's rights is knowing and willful, and as such, the Court expressly finds that there is no just reason for delay in entering the default judgment and permanent injunction sought herein.

Therefore, based upon the foregoing facts, and

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS that this Judgment shall be and is hereby entered in the within action as follows:

- 1) This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this action and over the subject matter hereof pursuant to pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq., as well as 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2) Service of process was properly made on the Defendants.
- 3) Defendants have made unauthorized uses of the Nike Trademarks or substantially similar likenesses or colorable imitations thereof.
- 4) Defendants and their agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert and participation with them who receive actual notice of the Injunction are hereby restrained and enjoined from:
 - a) Infringing the Nike Trademarks, either directly or contributorily, in any manner, including generally, but not limited to manufacturing, importing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or offering for sale any unauthorized

product which features any of the Nike Trademarks ("Unauthorized Products"), and, specifically from:

- Importing, manufacturing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or
 offering for sale the Unauthorized Products or any other unauthorized
 products which picture, reproduce, copy or use the likenesses of or bear a
 confusing similarity to any of the Nike Trademarks;
- ii) Importing, manufacturing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or offering for sale in connection thereto any unauthorized promotional materials, labels, packaging or containers which picture, reproduce, copy or use the likenesses of or bear a confusing similarity to any of the Nike Trademarks;
- iii) Engaging in any conduct that tends falsely to represent that, or is likely to confuse, mislead or deceive purchasers, Defendants' customers and/or members of the public to believe, the actions of Defendants, the products sold by Defendants, or Defendants themselves are connected with Nike, are sponsored, approved or licensed by Nike, or are affiliated with Nike;
- iv) Affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the importation, manufacture, distribution, advertising, sale and/or offer for sale or other use of any goods or services, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols, tending to falsely describe or represent such goods as being those of Nike.
- 5) Defendants are ordered to deliver for destruction all Unauthorized Products, including footwear, and labels, signs, prints, packages, dyes, wrappers, receptacles and advertisements relating thereto in their possession or under their control bearing any of the Nike Trademarks or any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable

By: /s/ Annie S. Wang
J. Andrew Coombs
Annie S. Wang
Attorneys for Plaintiff Nike, Inc.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23